Echocardiographic Assessment of Aortic Valve Stenosis

## Technical Factors



Algorithm on Approach to Grading AS Severity
Valve Morphology by Echocardiography Suspicious of Aortic Stenosis
(1) Assess Velocity/Gradient

Table 1: Recommedations for grading

| of AS severity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Mild | Moderate | Severe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak velocity $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | $2.6-2.9$ | $3.0-4.0$ | $\geq 4.0$ |
| Mean gradient $(\mathrm{mmHg})$ | $<20$ | $20-40$ | $\geq 40$ |
| AVA $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | $>1.5$ | $1.0-1.5$ | $<1.0$ |
| Indexed AVA $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $>0.85$ | $0.60-0.85$ | $<0.6$ |
| Velocity ratio | $>0.50$ | $0.25-0.50$ | $<0.25$ |



5 Assess LVEF
LVEF abnormal < $50 \%$


|  | Formulamethod | Advantages | Limitations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | divect | Direet | Correct measurement <br> requires parallel alignmen <br> of ultrasound beam, <br> Flow dependent |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mearan } \\ & \text { gamen } \\ & \text { nomplo } \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{54 v^{2}}{N}$ | Units comparable to invasive measurements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Accurate pressure } \\ & \text { gradients depend on } \\ & \text { accurate velocity data, } \\ & \text { Flow dependent } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { conotion } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { anco } \end{gathered}$ | $A V A=\frac{C S A_{\text {vover }} \times T T_{\text {Vor }}}{V T_{N V}}$ |  | Measuementerorom more |

## Table 3: Criteria that increase the likellhood of seve AS with AVA $<10 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and AS with AVA $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and mean gradien mmH in the presence of preserved FF

## 1. Clinical criteria

Physical examination consistent with severe aortic stenosis Typical symptoms without other explanation

## 2. Qualitative imaging data

- LVH (additional history of hypertension to be considered)
- Reduced LV longitudinal function without other explanation

3. Quantitative imaging data

- Mean gradient $30-40 \mathrm{mmHg}, \mathrm{AVA} \leq 0.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}, \mathrm{SVi}<35 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
- Calcium score by MSCT $\dagger$ ten 2000 women $\geq 1200$
Severe AS likely: $\quad$ men $\geq 200$. Severe AS very likely: men $\geq 3000 \quad$ women $\geq 1600$ Severe AS unlikely: men < 1600 women $<800$

Important to exclude:

- Measurement errors

Severe hypertension
Inconsistency between
Inconsistency between AVA and velocity/gradient cut-offs in the range of AVAs
between 0.8 and $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$
Clinically moderate AS (despite an AVA < $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) in a patient with small body size
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